THE BARNWELL ADVISORY GROUP

Strategy & Advisory Consulting

WHITE PAPER

Managed Pressure Drilling in the Age of AI

How AI Can Revolutionize the Use of the Hydraulic Model in MPD

Published: Q2 2026

Author: Dwayne C. Barnwell | The Barnwell Advisory Group

Sources: 112 cited, SPE, IADC, SLB, MDPI, ResearchGate, OnePetro, Halliburton

Read time: ~20 minutes

93.8%
AI kick detection accuracy in high-pressure wells using XGBoost classification

60%
ROP increase from AI-enabled autonomous drilling on Equinor's Peregrino platform

10–12 min
Earlier kick warning provided by AI vs. traditional threshold-based detection

5x
Telemetry integrity improvement with AI-driven modulation in extreme HPHT conditions

barnwelladvisorygroup.com | dwayne@barnwelladvisory.com | +1.281.643.8652

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Contents

Executive Summary

Defining the Hydraulic Model in MPD

Why the Hydraulic Model Matters in MPD

Limits of Traditional Hydraulic Modeling

The Case for AI-Augmented Hydraulic Modeling

Specific AI Use Cases for the Hydraulic Model

Physics-Based vs. AI vs. Hybrid Models

Data Requirements and Digital Infrastructure

Integration with MPD Controls and Automation

Risk, Safety, and Assurance

Workforce and Organizational Implications

Commercial and Competitive Implications

Case Studies and Evidence Base

Adoption Barriers

A Practical 3-Year Implementation Roadmap

Three Decisions Only the CEO and Board Can Make

Executive Action Checklist

Selected Sources

About the Author

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Executive Summary

Managed Pressure Drilling has evolved from a niche contingency technique into a fundamental enabler for modern well construction, particularly in ultra-deepwater, high-pressure/high-temperature (HPHT), and extended-reach environments. At the core of this adaptive drilling process lies the hydraulic model, the mathematical engine that defines the annular pressure profile and allows operators to navigate the razor-thin margins between pore pressure and fracture gradients.

The industry now stands at a critical inflection point. Traditional physics-based hydraulic models, while sophisticated, are constrained by parameter uncertainty, computational lag, and an inability to adapt in real time to the non-linear complexity of the downhole environment. Artificial intelligence and machine learning offer a fundamental solution: not by replacing physics, but by augmenting it with adaptive, probabilistic learning that transforms the hydraulic model from a static planning tool into a dynamic, self-calibrating, and predictive operational asset.

This paper examines how AI, from physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) to XGBoost kick detection frameworks, is reshaping the practice of MPD. It presents the technical case for hybrid modeling, quantifies the performance gains already achieved in field deployments, and provides a practical 3-year implementation roadmap for operators and service companies ready to make the transition.

Defining the Hydraulic Model in MPD

In the context of Managed Pressure Drilling, the hydraulic model is the mathematical representation of fluid dynamics within the wellbore, designed to estimate downhole pressures based on surface-measured variables. Unlike conventional drilling hydraulics, which often rely on steady-state assumptions, MPD hydraulic modeling must account for transient behavior, the rapid changes in pressure and flow that occur during pump startups, pipe connections, and unplanned well control events.

A high-fidelity hydraulic model in MPD typically solves the one-dimensional unsteady flow conservation equations for mass, momentum, and energy. These partial differential equations (PDEs) describe how the drilling fluid, a complex non-Newtonian substance, behaves as it moves through the drillstring, through the bit nozzles, and up the annulus. The accuracy of the model depends on its ability to incorporate real-world factors such as fluid compressibility, thermal expansion, pipe eccentricity, and the presence of drill cuttings.

Modern MPD models frequently utilize the Herschel-Bulkley rheological framework to more accurately capture the yield-stress behavior of modern drilling fluids. The defining characteristic of an MPD hydraulic model is its integration into the control loop: it provides the real-time setpoints for the automated choke manifold, ensuring that bottomhole pressure remains constant even when circulating friction pressure is lost during a connection.

MPD Hydraulic Model Components

Model Component	Mathematical Basis	Operational Relevance
Conservation of Mass	Continuity equation for fluid volumes	Tracking fluid volumes and identifying kicks/losses
Conservation of Momentum	Navier-Stokes or Drift-Flux Equations	Calculating frictional pressure losses and surge/swab effects
Conservation of Energy	Heat Transfer Equations	Predicting downhole temperature and its impact on fluid rheology
Equation of State	Pressure-Volume-Temperature (PVT) relationships	Accounting for mud density changes under extreme P/T conditions

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Why the Hydraulic Model Matters in MPD

The hydraulic model is the "decision-maker" in any MPD system. Its primary role is to ensure that the bottomhole pressure stays within the safe drilling window, the interval between pore pressure (minimum to prevent influx) and fracture pressure (maximum to prevent losses). In narrow-margin environments, where this window can be as tight as 0.5 ppg or less, even a minor miscalculation of the equivalent circulating density (ECD) can lead to a well control incident or a total loss of returns.

The Core Principle of Constant Bottomhole Pressure (CBHP)

In the CBHP variant of MPD, the hydraulic model manages the transition between dynamic (circulating) and static states. When pumps are stopped for a connection, circulating friction pressure drops to zero. The hydraulic model calculates exactly how much surface backpressure must be applied through the choke to compensate for this loss, preventing the wellbore from "breathing" or ballooning, which is critical for borehole stability in depleted or fractured formations.

Enabling "Undrillable" Prospects

The hydraulic model transforms unconventional prospects into economically viable projects. By providing the accuracy needed to "drill within the lines," MPD allows operators to reach deeper targets with fewer casing strings, reducing both the drilling timeline and capital expenditure. In deepwater sidetracks or HPHT exploration wells, where offset data is sparse and pressure ramps are unpredictable, the hydraulic model acts as the primary safety barrier, providing real-time pressure estimation needed to identify reservoir characteristics without shutting in the well.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Limits of Traditional Hydraulic Modeling

Despite the sophistication of mechanistic models, their effectiveness in real-time operations is constrained by a combination of physical uncertainty, computational demand, and the generalization gap between wells and formations.

The Uncertainty of Input Parameters

Traditional models are deterministic, they require precise inputs to generate accurate outputs. However, the downhole environment is inherently uncertain. Parameters such as wellbore geometry (due to washout or cuttings beds), pipe eccentricity, and downhole rheology are not directly measured but inferred from surface data. Static equations often fail to adapt to these varying conditions, leading to discrepancies between predicted and actual pressures. Mud pump efficiency, typically assumed to be a fixed value, fluctuates significantly with fluid compressibility and backpressure, a variable that traditional models routinely ignore.

Computational and Temporal Lag

High-fidelity transient simulators that solve complex PDEs are computationally expensive. Solving these "stiff" equations in a real-time environment requires substantial processing power and can lead to a "temporal lag" , a delay between the occurrence of a downhole event and its representation on the driller's console. In fast-moving scenarios, such as a high-rate gas kick, this lag can be the difference between a minor incident and a catastrophic blowout. When sensors fail or data quality is poor, mechanistic models can produce erratic results requiring expert engineers to intervene manually, introducing further operational delays.

The Generalization Gap

Mechanistic models often struggle to generalize across different wells or formations. A model calibrated for a vertical section in one basin may require a complete redesign when applied to a high-angle lateral in another. This "underdetermined system" problem, where the number of unknown parameters exceeds the available sensor measurements, makes manual calibration a time-consuming process that is not scalable across a large fleet of rigs.

> *“The biggest gap in traditional hydraulic modeling isn’t the math, it’s the model’s inability to learn. AI changes that fundamental constraint.”*

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

The Case for AI-Augmented Hydraulic Modeling

Artificial Intelligence offers a fundamental solution to the limitations of traditional modeling by transitioning from deterministic mechanics to adaptive, probabilistic learning. The objective is not to replace physics but to augment it with the data-processing power of machine learning, creating models that are simultaneously grounded in physical laws and capable of continuous improvement from operational data.

Real-Time Adaptive Calibration

AI can revolutionize model calibration through "intelligent estimation" algorithms. Instead of relying on periodic manual updates, AI models can continuously monitor the discrepancy between measured data (e.g., pressure-while-drilling or standpipe pressure) and predicted values, automatically adjusting model coefficients in real-time. This recursive update mechanism allows the hydraulic model to account for process degradation, cuttings accumulation, or changing reservoir temperatures without human intervention.

Virtual Sensing and Inferred Downhole Conditions

AI models can act as "soft sensors," using surface measurements to predict unmeasured downhole quantities. By training neural networks on massive historical datasets of MWD/LWD and pressure-while-drilling data, these systems can provide a "look-ahead" capability that anticipates pressure spikes or lithology changes before they occur. Research into graph neural networks and convolutional neural networks has demonstrated the ability to capture complex spatial and temporal depth-variation trends, providing BHP estimations with unprecedented accuracy, with CNN-GRU hybrid architectures achieving Mean Absolute Percentage Errors as low as 0.025%.

Enhanced Robustness to Noise

Drilling data is notoriously noisy, plagued by sensor drift and communication failures. Machine learning algorithms, particularly deep learning architectures like Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, are inherently robust to such noise. They can identify the underlying physical signal even amidst pump harmonics or pressure fluctuations, reducing the frequency of false alarms that plague traditional event detection software and increasing operational confidence in automated systems.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Specific AI Use Cases for the Hydraulic Model

The revolution of the hydraulic model is manifested in targeted applications that address the most critical pain points in MPD operations. Four use cases stand out for their demonstrated field performance and immediate commercial impact.

High-Precision Bottomhole Pressure (BHP) Prediction

Accurate BHP prediction is the core requirement for drilling in narrow windows. AI models such as the MetaPress architecture integrate physical "Meta" functions into LSTM gating systems to ensure that neural network predictions remain physically plausible. These models have achieved L2 errors of less than 2% in single-phase flow conditions, outperforming traditional mechanistic benchmarks by better capturing the coupled temporal-spatial characteristics of the wellbore.

Early Kick and Loss Detection (EKLD)

Traditional EKLD relies on manually configured thresholds, such as a 1 m^3 gain in pit volume, which are often too insensitive for HPHT wells. AI-based systems trained on engineered features such as standpipe pressure slope, gas acceleration, and pit velocity can recognize the "precursor signatures" of a kick before fixed limits are reached. Comparative studies show that XGBoost-based frameworks provide classification accuracy of 93.8% and deliver warnings 10-12 minutes earlier than traditional systems, providing a critical window for well control response.

Dynamic Rheology and Friction Factor Correction

Rheological behavior in complex wells changes with depth and temperature and cannot be generalized from surface measurements. AI systems such as the REALology monitoring suite use machine learning to predict viscometer readings in real-time based on automated Marsh funnel data. By providing continuous estimates of plastic viscosity and yield point with less than 7% error, AI allows the hydraulic model to adjust its friction loss calculations dynamically, ensuring safe circulation in extended-reach laterals where static assumptions consistently underestimate ECD.

Autonomous Connection and Tripping Management

The transition between circulating and static states is the highest-risk period in MPD operations. AI pattern-recognition algorithms can automate the "fingerprinting" of connections, distinguishing between normal flowback and early signs of influx. Systems that use Virtual Trip Tank auto-calibration can reduce false alarms during tripping by 1-2 per week while maintaining a 100% true positive identification rate, significantly improving both operational safety and rig efficiency.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

AI Technique Performance Summary

AI Technique	Primary Use Case	Performance Metric
XGBoost	Early Kick Detection	10–12 min earlier warning; 93.8% accuracy
CNN-GRU Hybrid	BHP Fluctuation Prediction	0.025% Mean Absolute Percentage Error
LSTM / MetaPress	BHP in Narrow-Margin Wells	L2 error < 2% in single-phase flow
Random Forest	ROP & BHP Optimization	$R^2 = 0.955$ for ROP prediction
Residual Modeling (ML+Physics)	Hybrid Hydraulics Accuracy	$R^2 = 0.9936$, highest accuracy in comparative studies
Multitask Neural Networks	Fault Detection & Diagnosis	Robust detection of washouts and plugged bit nozzles

Physics-Based vs. AI vs. Hybrid Models

The drilling industry is moving away from the debate of "physics vs. data" and toward a consensus on the superiority of hybrid modeling. Purely physics-based models are often too slow and data-hungry for real-time environments, while purely data-driven "black box" models are difficult to trust in safety-critical operations because they lack physical grounding. The practical industry answer lies in architectures that combine the interpretability of physics with the adaptability of machine learning.

The Residual Modeling Strategy

One of the most effective hybrid approaches is "residual modeling." In this framework, a mechanistic model provides a baseline prediction based on first principles, and a machine learning model is trained specifically to predict the "residuals", the errors or deviations between the physical model and actual field data. In ROP and hydraulics studies, residual modeling has achieved R^2 values of 0.9936, providing the highest accuracy while maintaining the interpretability of the underlying physical laws.

Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)

PINNs represent a deeper integration, where the governing fluid dynamics PDEs are embedded directly into the neural network's loss function. Unlike traditional neural networks that only minimize prediction error at specific data points, PINNs enforce the laws of conservation across the entire spatial-temporal domain. This allows the system to learn from sparse monitoring data, a common reality in offshore drilling, and produce results that are guaranteed to be physically plausible, even in well sections with limited sensor coverage.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Physics-Informed Transformers (PITs)

The next evolution of hybrid modeling is the Physics-Informed Transformer (PIT), which leverages the self-attention mechanism of transformer architectures to handle simultaneous multistep-ahead prediction. PITs can capture long-range temporal dependencies in drilling data, such as the gradual increase in friction due to cuttings buildup, with a 15-fold improvement in solution time performance over older LSTM architectures, making them ideal candidates for the high-speed automated control systems that underpin autonomous MPD operations.

> *"The industry is no longer debating physics versus data. The question is how to fuse them, and how fast. Hybrid models that encode physical laws into adaptive architectures are the only path to autonomous, safety-critical drilling."*

Data Requirements and Digital Infrastructure

The viability of AI-enhanced hydraulic modeling depends entirely on the underlying data architecture. Widespread adoption requires the industry to overcome legacy challenges of data silos, inconsistent naming conventions, and the bandwidth limitations of traditional telemetry systems.

Telemetry Evolution: From Mud-Pulse to Wired Drill Pipe

Standard mud-pulse telemetry is often the limiting factor for real-time AI. While AI-driven telemetry platforms can use adaptive signal processing to increase mud-pulse data rates by 5x, the true enabler for high-resolution AI is Wired Drill Pipe (WDP). WDP provides Mbps-level bandwidth and ultra-low latency, allowing the hydraulic model to ingest high-frequency data from along-string sensors and bit-face measurements, the real-time downhole feedback essential for training deep learning models that optimize ROP and provide overpressure protection.

Interoperability Standards: WITSML and D-WIS

Wellsite Information Transfer Standard Markup Language (WITSML) provides the standardized backbone that allows drilling parameters, mud logs, and LWD data to be shared seamlessly between systems. The Drilling and Wells Interoperability Standard (D-WIS), launched by the SPE Drilling Systems Automation Technical Section, goes beyond data transfer to enable "semantic interoperability", allowing different Automated Drilling Control Systems (ADCS) and advisory systems to collaborate using a shared understanding of drilling process states. Without D-WIS compliance, the "one-rig" automation vision remains architecturally fragmented.

The Edge-Cloud Hybrid Architecture

AI-enhanced MPD requires a dual-layered computational strategy. Time-critical, safety-dependent functions , such as choke automation and vibration mitigation, must reside on edge devices at the rig site to minimize latency. Computationally intensive tasks, such as multi-well performance benchmarking and domain-specific model training, can be offloaded to cloud platforms. Partnerships like SLB with NVIDIA for "AI Factories" and Baker Hughes with Google Cloud reflect the industry's recognition that this edge-cloud architecture is the only viable path to scalable, real-time hydraulic intelligence.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Integration with MPD Controls and Automation

The revolution of the hydraulic model is only fully realized when it is integrated into the Automated Drilling Control System. In an integrated automation environment, the hydraulic model doesn't just display data, it orchestrates rig machinery.

Closed-Loop Rig Orchestration

In a closed-loop automation environment, AI planners integrate insights from geomechanics, hydraulics, and torque-and-drag models to dynamically react to changing conditions. Upon detecting a lithology change via automated cuttings analysis or WOB/ROP signatures, the system can automatically solve an inverse Mechanical Specific Energy model to retrieve optimal setpoints for the rotation and circulation systems , enabling the hydraulic model to function as a proactive operating system for the wellbore rather than a passive monitoring display.

Event-Based Rig Action Plans (eRAP)

Modern MPD automation employs schedulers that execute electronic Rig Action Plans based on interpreted drilling process states. These schedulers can automatically prioritize wellbore protection functions, such as hookload protection or overpressure management, based on real-time risk assessments. If the hydraulic model detects a sudden pressure anomaly indicative of a plugged choke, the scheduler can instantaneously trigger a safe mode transition, moving control from automated to manual or backup systems without the human-induced delays that typically lead to non-productive time.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Risk, Safety, and Assurance

The transition to AI-dependent MPD introduces new risks that require robust governance and assurance frameworks. The industry must address both the technical challenges of model explainability and the human factors challenges of managed autonomy.

Explainable AI (XAI) and Operational Trust

One of the primary barriers to AI adoption is the "black box" nature of deep learning. Explainable AI techniques such as SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) are essential for "pulling back the curtain" on model decisions. SHAP is preferred for systematic quality analysis and debugging critical models, providing mathematically consistent feature attribution. LIME, while useful for quick prototyping, can be unstable in production environments and should be used with caution in safety-critical monitoring loops.

The Human-Machine Teaming (HMT) Framework

The industry is adopting Human-Machine Teaming frameworks where humans and autonomous agents jointly reason and act. This includes Cognitive Task Analysis to identify leverage points for improving the human-machine interface. The progression of autonomy runs from supervised (human-in-the-loop, where AI provides recommendations requiring authorization) through observable (human-on-the-loop, where AI operates within defined limits and humans intervene only when anomalies are detected). Most field deployments today operate at the supervised level, with a clear roadmap toward observable autonomy as trust is established through performance data.

AI Assurance: Design Time and Operation Time

Safety assurance must span the entire lifecycle of the AI system. Design Time Assurance (DTA) involves verification, validation, and simulation of models during the development phase to demonstrate that predefined safety and performance goals are achieved before deployment. Operation Time Assurance (OTA) provides continuous monitoring of the AI system during drilling to detect violations of safety requirements and ensure that only "safe functions" are performed. This dual-track assurance model is essential for any AI system integrated into a wellbore protection loop.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Workforce and Organizational Implications

The adoption of AI fundamentally reshapes the drilling workforce and organizational culture. Understanding and managing this transition is as important as the technical implementation itself.

The Shift to Petro-technical Expertise

The role of the drilling engineer is evolving from manual data manipulation to high-level strategic decision-making. AI can now validate well plans with 95% accuracy in 2 minutes compared to 2 hours of manual work, allowing engineers to focus on design improvements and innovation rather than repetitive reporting. This transition requires significant investment in AI literacy and prompt engineering capabilities across drilling teams.

Navigating the Cultural Shift

ExxonMobil's characterization of the industry's response through the "five stages of AI grief", Denial, Anger, Bargaining, Depression, and Acceptance, reflects a genuine cultural challenge. Organizations that move quickly through the earlier stages and reach Acceptance gain a structural advantage: they develop the institutional muscle memory for AI-augmented operations while competitors are still debating whether autonomous systems can be trusted. The organizations that will drill the next generation of HPHT wells are building that culture now.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Commercial and Competitive Implications

The digitalization of hydraulics is driving a fundamental shift in industry business models, creating new pricing structures and competitive dynamics that will reshape the service company landscape.

Performance-Based and Outcome-Based Pricing

Traditional SaaS pricing models, per-seat or per-usage, are inadequate for high-value AI services in drilling operations. The industry is moving toward performance-based pricing (where payment is tied to measurable results such as NPT reduction) and outcome-based pricing (where customers pay only when specific results are achieved, such as successfully drilling a well section without stuck-pipe events). These models align incentives between operators and service companies in ways that traditional contract structures cannot, accelerating adoption of AI systems that demonstrably deliver value.

Strategic Industry-Technology Partnerships

The scale and complexity of industrial AI have triggered massive collaborations between traditional service companies and technology giants. Partnerships like SLB with NVIDIA for "AI Factories" and Baker Hughes with Google Cloud for power optimization reflect a broader strategy to become the "go-to engineering partner" for complex subsurface energy challenges. Operators who build their AI capabilities in-house or through exclusive partnerships with service companies will gain first-mover advantages in accessing the most complex prospects.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Case Studies and Evidence Base

The transformative potential of AI-enhanced hydraulics is supported by a growing body of field-validated data from a diverse range of operating environments and well types.

Autonomous Drilling on Equinor's Peregrino Platform

On the Brazilian Peregrino platform, SLB combined digital workflows with autonomous steering to drill 99% of a 2.6 km section in autonomous control mode. Over a five-well campaign, this resulted in a 60% increase in average ROP and a 30% reduction in well delivery time, demonstrating that rig automation can achieve consistent, optimal performance independent of operator skill levels. The hydraulic model served as the central intelligence layer, continuously calibrating to real-time conditions to maintain optimal BHP throughout the autonomous sequence.

HPHT Telemetry Integrity in the North Sea

In the North Sea, an advanced telemetry platform using AI-driven modulation control enabled continuous transmission of high-resolution logging data at rates of penetration of 400 ft/hr. The system dynamically adjusted its filtering algorithms to pump harmonics and formation variability, ensuring telemetry integrity five times higher than offset benchmarks in extreme HPHT conditions. This demonstrated that the data pipeline supporting AI-enhanced hydraulics is itself a candidate for AI optimization.

Early Kick Detection in High-Pressure Iranian Wells

A comparative study using 2,768 historical data points from high-pressure wells demonstrated that an optimized XGBoost model could detect kicks 10-12 minutes before traditional threshold-based systems. The model achieved 93.8% accuracy and 94.1% recall, suggesting that AI can successfully transition from a reactive role to a proactive safety prevention device in safety-critical operations. At the well control costs typical of HPHT environments, this early warning window represents a potential risk reduction of millions of dollars per well.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Adoption Barriers

Widespread adoption of AI-enhanced hydraulic modeling faces several structural hurdles that operators and service companies must address proactively.

The Challenge of "Data Chaos"

Drilling data is often siloed, stored in legacy formats, and presented in inconsistent naming conventions. Resolving these unit discrepancies and fragmented database issues requires deep domain knowledge and significant engineering investment. Without clean, consistent WITSML-compliant data flowing from the entire rig sensor ecosystem, AI models cannot achieve their performance potential, and may produce erratic results that undermine operator confidence and slow adoption.

Liability and Risk Allocation

The integration of autonomous systems raises complex legal questions. If an AI advisor provides a recommendation that leads to a well control incident, determining the allocation of liability between the operator, the rig contractor, and the AI service provider remains a significant barrier to implementation. The industry needs contractual frameworks that clearly define the boundaries of AI authority and establish accountability structures that allow autonomous systems to be deployed without ambiguous liability exposure.

Cybersecurity in the Digital Oilfield

As rigs become more connected and reliant on cloud-based AI, they become vulnerable to cyber threats. Protecting AI models and the data they access is a mission-critical requirement; a security breach that causes an operational shutdown could lead to catastrophic environmental and financial consequences. The edge-cloud architecture that enables AI-enhanced MPD must be built with security-by-design principles, not retrofitted with security controls after deployment.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

A Practical 3-Year Implementation Roadmap

Operators and service companies should follow a phased approach to build the infrastructure, institutional capability, and trust needed for autonomous MPD operations. Each phase builds on the previous, creating compounding operational and commercial advantages.

> *"The operators who invest in AI-enhanced MPD infrastructure today will drill the wells that others call undrillable tomorrow. The 3-year window to build this capability is now open."*

Year 1 | Data Foundations

Data Foundations & Rig Retrofitting

- Implement fleet-wide WITSML consistency across all drilling data sources
- Retrofit existing rigs with high-frequency surface sensors and MPD control skids
- Establish internal AI Literacy programs for drilling engineers and mud engineers
- Identify the top 20% of well sections by NPT cost to serve as initial AI pilots
- Deploy baseline hybrid residual model on one complex well section as proof of concept

Data Foundations

Year 2 | Pilot Deployment

Pilot Deployment & AI Assurance

- Deploy hybrid "residual" modeling for BHP and ROP prediction in complex sections
- Implement AI-based automated fingerprinting for connections to reduce tripping risks
- Establish formal AI assurance frameworks with SHAP-based explainability requirements
- Replace threshold-based EKLD with XGBoost-class AI kick detection on HPHT wells
- Pilot performance-based commercial contracts with MPD service providers

AI Assurance

Year 3 | Autonomous Scale

Scaling & Closed-Loop Automation

- Full integration of AI advisors with ADCS using the D-WIS framework
- Roll out PINN-based hydraulic models across the entire high-complexity portfolio
- Deploy wired drill pipe on flagship ultra-deepwater and HPHT programs
- Transition from integrated drilling service contracts to outcome-based pricing
- Publish Return on AI dashboard tracking NPT reduction and ECD accuracy gains

Autonomous Scale

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Three Decisions Only the CEO and Board Can Make

AI-enhanced MPD ultimately succeeds or fails on three non-delegable leadership commitments. Drilling engineers and data scientists can build the tools, but only the CEO and Board can authorize the structural investments, cultural shifts, and governance redesigns that make autonomous hydraulic intelligence real. These decisions require sustained conviction because their payoff is invisible in stable times and essential in the next complex well.

Decision 1: Mandate AI-Hybrid Hydraulics as a Safety-Critical Function.

The CEO must elevate AI-augmented hydraulic modeling from an R&D; experiment to a formally governed safety-critical function with dedicated budget, assurance requirements, and Board visibility. This means establishing a formal AI assurance framework, requiring SHAP-based explainability for any model in a wellbore protection loop, and appointing an owner accountable for model performance across the drilling portfolio. Organizations that treat AI hydraulics as an optional upgrade will be structurally unprepared for the liability and performance gaps of the next HPHT campaign.

Decision 2: Commit Capital to Data Infrastructure Before the Next Complex Well.

The CFO must authorize investment in the data infrastructure that AI requires to deliver its performance promise: WITSML standardization across the rig fleet, high-frequency sensor retrofits, and the edge-cloud architecture that enables real-time model execution. Without this foundational investment, performance-based AI contracts cannot be validated, and the AI tools purchased will be constrained to demonstrating their potential rather than capturing their value. The window to build this infrastructure before the next complex drilling campaign is often shorter than operators assume.

Decision 3: Build Petro-technical AI Literacy Before Autonomous Deployment.

The CHRO and CEO must treat AI literacy as a board-level strategic investment, not a training line item. Drilling engineers who understand how to interrogate AI model outputs, recognize failure modes, and maintain meaningful human-on-the-loop supervision are the difference between a high-performing autonomous system and a liability. The "evaporating talent pool" of engineers who understand both physics-based modeling and machine learning architecture cannot be built reactively, and the window to develop it closes with each new autonomous deployment that proceeds without it.

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Executive Action Checklist

Use this checklist to assess your organization's current AI-MPD readiness. Each item represents a structural capability that, if absent, creates identifiable performance and safety risk exposure across your high-complexity drilling portfolio.

1. **WITSML Data Standardization Completed**
   Is drilling data from all rigs stored in consistent WITSML-compliant formats? Are unit discrepancies and naming convention conflicts resolved across the data pipeline?

2. **Hybrid Model Pilot Initiated**
   Have we deployed residual AI modeling for BHP or ROP prediction on at least 2–3 complex well sections, with performance benchmarked against mechanistic baselines?

3. **AI Assurance Framework Established**
   Do we have a formal requirement for SHAP-based explainability for AI models in safety-critical wellbore protection loops? Is there a designated model performance owner?

4. **Kick Detection Upgraded to AI Classification**
   Have threshold-based EKLD systems on HPHT wells been replaced or augmented with AI-class classification frameworks validated against historical kick event data?

5. **Workforce AI Literacy Program Active**
   Is there an active AI literacy program for drilling engineers covering model interrogation, failure mode recognition, and human-on-the-loop supervision protocols?

6. **Performance-Based Commercial Model Evaluated**
   Have we reviewed our MPD service contracts for transition to performance-based or outcome-based pricing structures that align incentives with NPT reduction and ECD accuracy?

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | Confidential

Selected Sources

SPE-228943-MS	A Comparative Study on AI-Predicted vs Actual Kick Detection in High-Pressure Wells. OnePetro, 2025.
MDPI, 2026	Physics-Constrained Meta-Embedded Neural Network for Bottom-Hole Pressure Prediction in Radial Oil Flow Reservoirs. Processes 14(1):89.
ResearchGate	Hybrid Physics-Based and Data-Driven Modeling for Improved Standpipe Pressure Prediction. SPE Drilling & Completion, 2021.
SLB, 2024	SLB and Equinor Drill Most Autonomous Well Section To-Date. SLB Newsroom Press Release.
ResearchGate	Simplified Hydraulics Model Used for Intelligent Estimation of Downhole Pressure for a Managed-Pressure-Drilling Control System.
Drillopedia	Managed Pressure Drilling (MPD), Fundamentals and Field Learning Guide.
ResearchGate	Demonstration of the Drilling and Wells Interoperability Standard (D-WIS) Framework in a Multi-Company Context.
SLB, 2025	From Data Chaos to AI Clarity. SLB Resource Library Article.
Allganize, 2026	Managing AI Risk in Oil & Gas: Governance Frameworks for Secure Enterprise AI.
BYU ScholarsArchive	Hybrid Machine Learning and Physics-Based Modeling Approaches for Process Control and Optimization.

About the Author

Dwayne C. Barnwell

Founder & Principal | The Barnwell Advisory Group

Dwayne C. Barnwell brings 30 years of field-tested experience spanning the U.S. Navy, global supply chain and operational transformation, geopolitical risk advisory, and management consulting. He has led enterprise supply chain redesign, procurement strategy, and resilience engagements at the world's leading strategy consulting firms. The Barnwell Advisory Group is headquartered in Houston, TX.

This white paper is produced by The Barnwell Advisory Group for informational purposes only. All performance data cited are sourced from referenced academic and industry publications. This document does not constitute engineering advice for any specific well or operation. © 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group. All rights reserved. Reproduction or distribution without written permission is prohibited.

THE BARNWELL ADVISORY GROUP

Where strategy meets execution.

barnwelladvisorygroup.com | dwayne@barnwelladvisory.com | +1.281.643.8652

© 2026 The Barnwell Advisory Group | All rights reserved | Confidential