



THE BARNWELL ADVISORY GROUP
Strategy & Advisory Consulting

WHITE PAPER

Hydrogen at Scale

Commercial Models and Market Entry

How energy leaders, infrastructure investors, and industrial buyers can enter a hydrogen market that has stopped rewarding hype and started rewarding bankable, demand-backed projects.

Published: Q2 2026
Author: Dwayne C. Barnwell | The Barnwell Advisory Group
Sources: 50 cited, incl. IEA, ACER, Air Products, ExxonMobil, H2Global
Read time: ~16 minutes

100 Mt

global hydrogen demand,
still mostly fossil-based

37 Mtpa

2030 pipeline, down
from 49 as hype clears

\$4-\$9

per kg green LCOH vs
under \$2 for gas

€1,000

per tonne H2Global
ammonia clearing price

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Define Hydrogen's Role in the Energy Transition	3
A Wide Gap Between Announced Ambition and Deployed Reality	5
Production Pathways and the Economics of the Green Premium	6
Demand Formation Is the Real Problem	7
Merchant Risk Is Unbankable. Choose a Commercial Archetype.	8
Market Entry Is a Portfolio of Strategic Positions	9
Regional Regimes Dictate Where Capital Flows	10
Policy Is the Bedrock of the Low-Emissions Hydrogen Economy	11
Ignoring Midstream Logistics Is the Primary Cause of Failure	12
Bankability Depends on Firm Offtake and Shared Risk	13
The Competitive Landscape Is Reshuffling as Reality Sets In	14
Case Studies and Benchmarks	15
Failure Modes and Market Realities	16
What Leading Organizations Do Differently	17
A Strategic Roadmap for Energy Leaders	18
Implications for the C-Suite and Board	19
Future Outlook: 2026 to 2035	20
Conclusion	21

Executive Summary

The global hydrogen sector has entered a critical, uncompromising phase of commercial rationalization. Moving decisively past the era of speculative policy enthusiasm, the market in 2026 demonstrates a stark bifurcation: high-maturity, demand-backed projects are rapidly advancing through construction, while highly speculative, merchant-oriented announcements face rampant cancellations. Global hydrogen demand reached approximately 100 million tonnes (Mt) in 2024 and remains overwhelmingly dominated by unabated fossil fuels utilized in traditional chemical and refining sectors. Low-emissions hydrogen production is expanding but remains marginal, tracking to reach roughly 1 Mt in 2025.

The industry's project pipeline vividly reflects this maturity correction. While total announced low-emissions hydrogen production potential for 2030 has shrunk from 49 million tonnes per annum (Mtpa) to 37 Mtpa due to widespread cancellations and delays, the volume of projects reaching Final Investment Decision (FID) has doubled, reaching over 4.2 Mtpa expected by 2030. This structural shift indicates that while overarching hydrogen hype is dissipating, tangible capital deployment by serious developers with integrated value chains is accelerating.

However, scaling hydrogen remains fraught with infrastructural, economic, and policy complexities. Capital expenditures for electrolyzers have escalated, and the levelized cost of hydrogen (LCOH) for renewable pathways remains materially higher, often between \$4 to \$9 per kilogram depending on the region, compared to unabated natural gas pathways that sit below \$2/kg. To bridge this "green premium," developers are entirely reliant on a mosaic of government subsidies, compliance mandates, and market-making mechanisms. In the United States, the finalization of the Section 45V Clean Hydrogen Production Tax Credit rules in January 2025 enshrined strict incrementality, deliverability, and temporal matching requirements, cooling early-stage development but providing the regulatory certainty required for institutional capital. Concurrently, the European Union's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) entered its definitive regime in January 2026, placing a hard carbon price, starting near €75.36 per tonne of CO₂ equivalent, on imported ammonia and fertilizers, fundamentally restructuring the economics of global trade.

This report provides an exhaustive, evidence-based assessment of how energy companies, infrastructure investors, and industrial consumers can navigate this complex landscape. It demystifies the production pathways, dissects emerging commercial and offtake models, interrogates severe infrastructure constraints (such as water consumption, ammonia cracking, and salt cavern storage), and outlines a strategic roadmap for commercially viable market entry over the next three to five years.

Define Hydrogen's Role in the Energy Transition

Hydrogen is frequently misunderstood as a primary energy source; it is, in fact, an energy carrier and chemical feedstock. Its role in global decarbonization must be viewed through a strictly commercial lens governed by thermodynamic efficiency, infrastructure readiness, and substitution costs.

Taxonomy of Hydrogen Pathways

The industry relies on color codes and emissions-intensity thresholds to classify hydrogen production, each carrying distinct commercial profiles:

Grey/Black/Brown Hydrogen Produced via steam methane reforming (SMR) or coal gasification without emissions abatement. This pathway accounts for over 900 Mt of CO₂ emissions annually and represents the baseline cost standard.

Blue Hydrogen Produced via natural gas reforming (SMR or autothermal reforming) paired with carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS).

Green (Renewable) Hydrogen Produced by splitting water via electrolysis using zero-carbon renewable electricity.

Pink Hydrogen Produced via high-temperature steam electrolysis (HTSE) or conventional electrolysis powered by nuclear energy. Due to the high capacity factors of nuclear power, this pathway offers excellent electrolyzer utilization, though nuclear project timelines currently lag significantly behind renewable deployments.

Turquoise Hydrogen Produced via methane pyrolysis, which yields solid carbon (graphite) rather than gaseous CO₂. While companies like Monolith have pioneered this space, the technology remains at an early commercial stage.

The Merit Order of Hydrogen Demand

A commercially grounded strategy requires focusing on sectors where hydrogen has no scalable alternative.

Highest Credibility (Existing Feedstock Replacement) Ammonia production (fertilizers), methanol synthesis, and petroleum refining currently consume almost all the 100 Mt of global hydrogen demand. Decarbonizing this existing base is the primary, most bankable near-term opportunity.

High Credibility (Hard-to-Abate Heavy Industry) Direct reduced iron (DRI) steelmaking and high-temperature industrial heat applications where electrification is thermodynamically impossible.

Medium Credibility (Heavy Mobility and Shipping) Deep-sea shipping (via green ammonia or green methanol) and sustainable aviation fuels (e-SAF).

Low Credibility (Overhyped Applications) Broad residential heating, passenger vehicles, and low-temperature industrial heat are highly unlikely to adopt hydrogen at scale due to the superior thermodynamic efficiency, lower infrastructure costs, and established deployment of direct electrification (e.g., heat pumps, battery electric vehicles).

A Wide Gap Between Announced Ambition and Deployed Reality

The global hydrogen market is defined by a massive gap between announced policy ambitions and deployed commercial reality. Total global hydrogen demand in 2024 was nearly 100 Mt, growing at 2% year-over-year, driven entirely by traditional industrial sectors rather than new energy transition applications.

The Project Pipeline Correction

In 2024 and 2025, the market experienced a severe but necessary "reality check." The International Energy Agency (IEA) reported that the potential low-emissions hydrogen production by 2030 based on announced projects actually declined for the first time, dropping from 49 Mtpa to 37 Mtpa. This 12 Mtpa drop was driven by widespread delays and project cancellations, primarily within electrolysis pathways (which accounted for 80% of the drop), across Europe, the Americas, and Australia.

Simultaneously, the volume of projects moving into construction has grown. The capacity reaching FID doubled from 1.7 Mt in 2023 to 3.4 Mt in 2024, and is projected to reach 4.2 Mtpa by 2030 based on current operational and FID assets. Of the FIDs reached in 2024, roughly 55% were based on water electrolysis (heavily concentrated in China) and 45% on fossil fuels with carbon mitigation (concentrated in North America).

The Offtake Bottleneck

The primary barrier to reaching FID is not technological; it is commercial. Out of the vast pipeline of projects, only a fraction possess firm, bankable offtake agreements. Almost 7 Mtpa of offtake agreements were announced between 2021 and 2025, but only 1.6 Mtpa is considered legally binding and firm. Projects targeting export markets add up to 16.5 Mtpa by 2030, but only 4.2 Mtpa of that volume has identified offtakers. Buyers remain unwilling to sign 10-to-15 year take-or-pay contracts at a premium of 1.5x to 6x over fossil alternatives without heavy government intervention.

Production Pathways and the Economics of the Green Premium

The economics of low-emissions hydrogen are a complex stack of capital expenditure, electricity/feedstock prices, electrolyzer utilization rates, and environmental constraints.

Levelized Cost of Hydrogen (LCOH)

As of 2025, the LCOH for unabated natural gas sits below \$2/kg in gas-producing regions (and closer to \$9/kg in gas-importing regions). Blue hydrogen adds the capital and operational expenses of CCUS, marginally increasing costs but retaining competitiveness where natural gas is cheap. Conversely, renewable (green) hydrogen remains structurally expensive, typically ranging from \$4/kg to over \$9/kg depending on the jurisdiction and local power markets. The full capital costs for alkaline electrolyzers outside of China increased to between €1,850/kW and €2,400/kW in 2024, further inflating LCOH.

The Water Constraint

An often-overlooked commercial and permitting constraint is water consumption. The theoretical minimum water requirement for electrolysis is 9 liters per kilogram of hydrogen. However, accounting for water purification (where 20-40% is lost to waste) and evaporative cooling, the actual withdrawal can range from 60 to 95 liters per kilogram. Studies indicate that PEM electrolysis consumes an average of 17.5 L/kg overall, while alkaline systems consume roughly 22.3 L/kg. Given that approximately 40% of planned low-emissions projects are situated in water-stressed regions, developers are increasingly forced to integrate capital-intensive desalination plants, adding up to \$3.20 per cubic meter of purified water, or secure recycled tertiary effluents.

Demand Formation Is the Real Problem

The commercialization of hydrogen is fundamentally a demand-formation problem. Energy leaders must distinguish between highly credible demand centers and speculative applications, as market willingness to pay varies drastically.

Analyzing Key Segments

Ammonia production represents the most mature and bankable near-term vector. The existing global ammonia market is vast, handles toxic liquids safely, and already consumes over 30 Mt of hydrogen annually. Low-emission ammonia makes up a significant portion of the mature project pipeline because it allows producers to circumvent the high costs of transporting gaseous or liquid hydrogen.

Methanol serves as a foundational chemical building block and an emerging marine fuel. Green methanol, produced by combining green hydrogen with biogenic or direct-air-captured CO₂, is seeing increased offtake interest from shipping conglomerates seeking to meet international maritime emissions mandates. Conversely, broad power generation blending and residential heating remain highly uncompetitive against direct electrification alternatives.

Merchant Risk Is Unbankable. Choose a Commercial Archetype.

Entering the hydrogen market requires choosing a specific commercial archetype. The traditional "merchant risk" model, building a plant and selling into a spot market, is unbankable for capital-intensive low-emissions hydrogen due to price volatility and illiquid trading hubs.

Hydrogen Commercial Model Archetypes

Captive Production for Industrial Sites Industrial operators (e.g., refineries, steel mills) build or procure electrolysis/CCUS infrastructure exclusively for their own operations. This internalizes the offtake risk and is highly bankable if the parent company's balance sheet is strong.

The Hub Orchestrator Consortia of producers, midstream operators, and industrial off-takers co-locate within a defined geography. A prime example is the HyVelocity Hub along the Texas Gulf Coast, which leverages the world's largest concentration of hydrogen producers, existing pipelines, and massive salt cavern storage infrastructure (e.g., Clemens and Moss Bluff domes) to socialize infrastructure costs across multiple off-takers.

The Toll Processor Model A developer builds an electrolyser and charges an industrial customer a tolling fee to convert the customer's secured renewable electricity and water into hydrogen. This completely insulates the developer from power price and utilization risk.

Contracts for Difference (CfD) and Market Makers Governments step in to bridge the gap between high production costs and lower market willingness to pay. The German government's H2Global initiative operates a double-sided auction mechanism via its subsidiary Hintco, signing 10-year Hydrogen Purchase Agreements (HPA) with international producers and subsequent 1-year Hydrogen Sales Agreements (HSA) with European buyers, absorbing the price differential using public funds.

Market Entry Is a Portfolio of Strategic Positions

"Entering hydrogen" is not a monolith; it is a portfolio of strategic positions tailored to a company's core competencies, risk appetite, and capital access.

Hydrogen Market Entry Strategy Matrix

For Oil and Gas companies, the natural pivot is Blue Hydrogen and CCS platforms, leveraging existing balance sheets and subsurface expertise. Utilities are perfectly positioned to orchestrate green hydrogen production, utilizing stranded renewable power and offering bundled Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) and green hydrogen supply contracts. Infrastructure investors must target the midstream, specifically salt cavern storage, dedicated pipelines, and port import/export terminals, which offer the traditional toll-based, contracted revenue profiles that private equity requires.

Regional Regimes Dictate Where Capital Flows

The regulatory regimes separating North America, Europe, and the Middle East dictate global capital flows and project feasibility.

United States Characterized by an incentive-driven approach, heavily reliant on the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) and the 45V production tax credits. The US boasts massive gas and CCS advantages, particularly along the Gulf Coast, making it the global epicenter for blue hydrogen and early green hydrogen hubs.

European Union Defined by a mandate and penalty-driven approach. Strict mandates under RED III enforce demand, while the EU ETS and CBAM penalize carbon-intensive alternatives. Europe is the dominant demand center for green imports.

Middle East (Saudi Arabia, UAE, Oman) Functions as an export-driven powerhouse. Leveraging massive sovereign wealth, vast contiguous land for highly efficient solar and wind, and cheap capital, the region is building gigawatt-scale export hubs designed to supply Europe and Asia with green ammonia and blue hydrogen.

China Dominates electrolyzer manufacturing capacity (holding roughly 60% of global capacity) and deployment. The region benefits from the world's lowest production costs for alkaline electrolyzers, though certification for export markets remains an ongoing hurdle.

Policy Is the Bedrock of the Low-Emissions Hydrogen Economy

Policy is the absolute bedrock of the low-emissions hydrogen economy. Projects live or die by their ability to navigate labyrinthine certification and subsidy frameworks.

United States: The 45V Final Rules

In January 2025, the US Treasury and Internal Revenue Service released the final regulations for the Section 45V tax credit, which provides up to \$3.00/kg (with prevailing wage multipliers) for hydrogen produced with near-zero lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions under the 45VH2-GREET model. The final rules firmly retained the controversial "Three Pillars" required for electrolytic hydrogen utilizing Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs):

Incrementality (Additionality) The clean power source must begin commercial operations within 36 months of the hydrogen facility. Crucially, the 2025 final rules provided new flexibilities, including allowances for uprates, restarted facilities, and a specific carve-out for merchant nuclear reactors (up to 200 MWh per operating hour).

Deliverability The power generation and hydrogen facility must be situated in the same geographic region.

Temporal Matching Taxpayers have an extended transition period allowing annual matching for electricity generated before January 1, 2030, after which strict hourly matching becomes mandatory.

European Union: RFNBO and CBAM

The EU's Delegated Act on Renewable Fuels of Non-Biological Origin (RFNBO) sets the standard for green hydrogen. Like the US, it demands additionality, geographical correlation, and temporal correlation. By January 1, 2030, hydrogen production must match renewable generation on a strict hourly basis. Modeling by ENTSO-E and EWI indicates that this shift from monthly to hourly matching will drastically limit electrolyzer flexibility, potentially increasing the LCOH by €10 to €30 per MWh due to the need for advanced forecasting, battery integration, or lower capacity factors.

Furthermore, on January 1, 2026, the definitive regime of the EU CBAM entered into force. EU importers of hydrogen, ammonia, and fertilizers must now hold Authorised CBAM Declarant status and surrender certificates corresponding to the embedded carbon of their imports. With the Q1 2026 certificate price benchmarking at approximately €75.36 per tonne of CO₂e, non-EU suppliers operating highly emissive assets face severe financial penalties. For the fertilizer industry, this incentivizes the rapid global transition to green or blue ammonia to preserve European market access against cleaner regional producers.

Ignoring Midstream Logistics Is the Primary Cause of Failure

Analyzing production without analyzing midstream logistics is the primary cause of hydrogen project failures.

The Transport Dilemma: LH2 vs. Ammonia vs. LOHC

Moving hydrogen over long distances is technologically and economically hostile.

Liquid Hydrogen (LH2) Hydrogen liquefies at -253°C , requiring immense energy. While LH2 in ISO tank containers is highly efficient for inland distribution networks (outperforming other vectors for distances over 130 km), deep-sea LH2 shipping remains technologically nascent and highly capital intensive.

Liquid Organic Hydrogen Carriers (LOHC) LOHCs use chemicals like toluene or benzyltoluene to bind hydrogen. However, current Technology Readiness Levels (TRL) remain low (5-6). A major economic barrier is carrier degradation; toluene-based systems can lose up to 2.5% of the carrier substance per cycle, raising OPEX and adding a significant carbon footprint. The low gravimetric energy density means substantial logistics resources are spent transporting the carrier itself.

Ammonia (NH₃) Ammonia is the undisputed champion for intercontinental transport. However, if the end-user requires pure hydrogen gas, the ammonia must be cracked. The global construction of reconversion and cracking facilities could cost an estimated \$500 billion, and cracking results in significant energy efficiency losses. Consequently, the most commercially viable route is utilizing ammonia directly in its liquid form for fertilizer, shipping fuel, or co-firing in power plants.

Salt Cavern Storage

To manage the intermittency of renewable hydrogen, massive geological storage is required. The Texas Gulf Coast possesses an immense strategic advantage due to its existing salt domes. Caverns such as the Clemens Dome (Phillips 66) and Moss Bluff (NeuVentus/Linde) are essential infrastructure. Moss Bluff alone offers an estimated 128 million barrel volumetric capacity, strategically located near the HyVelocity Hub and ammonia export terminals.

Bankability Depends on Firm Offtake and Shared Risk

Hydrogen project finance requires mitigating a complex web of interconnected risks.

Hydrogen Project Bankability Model

Projects are only financeable when they combine bankable offtake, credible technology, experienced sponsors, and robust risk-sharing mechanisms that protect debt holders from merchant price exposure.

The Competitive Landscape Is Reshuffling as Reality Sets In

The competitive landscape is actively reshuffling as reality sets in.

Air Products & ACWA Power Leading the global charge in captive, gigawatt-scale green hydrogen through their dominant joint venture in Saudi Arabia (NEOM), proving the viability of vertical integration.

Linde & Air Liquide Dominating the midstream and hub orchestration models. Their involvement in the HyVelocity Hub and Gulf Coast salt cavern infrastructure (Moss Bluff, Spindletop) cements their control over the physical movement of the molecule.

ExxonMobil & BP Reflecting the heavy scrutiny Oil & Gas majors are placing on blue hydrogen economics. Both companies have aggressively paused or cancelled flagship projects (Baytown and Teesside) when policy uncertainty and weak commercial demand rendered them unbankable.

Case Studies and Benchmarks

Benchmark 1: H2Global Price Discovery (The Policy Market Maker)

The German H2Global initiative successfully concluded its Lot 1 pilot auction for renewable ammonia, delivering a critical price signal to the global market. The winner, Fertigllobe (an ADNOC and OCI partnership), secured a contract to produce up to 397,000 tonnes of renewable ammonia from the Egypt Green Hydrogen project for delivery to Rotterdam between 2027 and 2033.

The Economics The net product price (ex-factory) cleared at €811 per tonne, with a fully delivered European contract price of €1,000 per tonne.

Lessons Learned At €1,000/tonne, this represents roughly a 175% premium over the spot price for fossil-based ammonia delivered into Northwest Europe. Without Hintco absorbing the "green premium" via its €900 million budget, this project would not have reached FID. (Note: Lot 2 for renewable methanol remains ongoing, while Lot 3 for e-SAF failed to secure a firm bid).

Benchmark 2: NEOM Green Hydrogen (The Megaproject Reality)

Saudi Arabia's NEOM Green Hydrogen project demonstrates what is required to reach true commercial scale. Powered by 4 GW of dedicated onshore wind and solar, the 2.2 GW electrolyser facility will produce up to 1.2 Mtpa of green ammonia.

Status As of early 2026, the facility reported 90% construction completion across all sites, positioning it for first product availability in 2027.

Lessons Learned The project entirely bypassed power market matching rules and grid interconnection delays by building a wholly captive, off-grid renewable system. Furthermore, securing a 30-year full offtake agreement with partner Air Products proved that massive balance-sheet financing and vertical integration are prerequisites for gigawatt-scale success.

Benchmark 3: ExxonMobil Baytown & BP Teesside (The Failure Modes)

In late 2025, ExxonMobil officially paused its massive 1 billion cubic feet per day Blue Hydrogen facility in Baytown, Texas, effectively removing a \$7 billion planned capital expenditure from the near-term pipeline.

Status Suspended, mirroring BP's earlier cancellation of the H2 Teesside blue hydrogen plant in the UK.

Lessons Learned CEO Darren Woods cited "weak customer demand" and uncertainty regarding the evolution of 45V regulations, noting that without a path to a market-driven business independent of government incentives, the project could not proceed. These pauses demonstrate that massive supply-side ambition is irrelevant if industrial buyers refuse to sign long-term, premium-priced offtake agreements.

Failure Modes and Market Realities

The hydrogen transition is heavily exposed to several critical failure modes that developers frequently underestimate:

The Offtake Illusion Developers frequently announce Memorandums of Understanding (MoUs) as "demand." MoUs are non-binding. Without firm, 15-year take-or-pay contracts, debt cannot be raised, and projects die at the FID gate.

Regulatory Whiplash Business models initially modeled on annual or monthly temporal matching collapse economically under 2030 hourly matching mandates (RFNBO and 45V), as the required battery storage or curtailed electrolyzer hours destroy project returns.

Infrastructure Mismatch Building an electrolyser without securing pipeline capacity, salt cavern storage, or port off-loading rights creates a stranded asset.

Water Scarcity Blindspots Failing to secure long-term municipal tertiary effluent agreements or drastically underestimating the CAPEX of desalination in arid regions.

What Leading Organizations Do Differently

Successful players in the hydrogen economy exhibit clear behavioral patterns that separate them from organizations chasing generic decarbonization hype:

Demand-Backward Development Winners secure an industrial anchor tenant first, collaboratively design the infrastructure, and build the production facility backward from the required delivery point, purity, and volume.

Technology Neutrality Rather than adhering dogmatically to "green" or "blue," pragmatic developers deploy the pathway that offers the lowest LCOH while meeting the specific regulatory carbon-intensity thresholds (e.g., CBAM) of the local market.

Aggregating Clusters Integrating production within existing hubs (e.g., US Gulf Coast, Port of Rotterdam) severely diminishes the capital required for connective tissue (pipelines, storage, water treatment).

A Strategic Roadmap for Energy Leaders

To navigate the transition over the next 36 to 60 months, organizations must adopt a phased, disciplined roadmap:

First 90 Days Map existing asset exposure to incoming carbon penalties (e.g., EU CBAM). Identify where operations have captive hydrogen or ammonia demand that must be decarbonized.

6-Month Strategy Sprint: Segment demand. Avoid generic hydrogen market strategies and focus strictly on bankable derivatives (ammonia, methanol) or hub-integrated point sources.

12-Month Market Entry Design: Evaluate commercial archetypes. Determine whether the firm is best suited as an infrastructure toiler, a captive producer, or a minority equity partner in a mega-consortium.

24-Month Partnership Development: Lock in anchor offtakers. Negotiate risk-sharing mechanisms (e.g., indexed pricing models that pass power-market volatility to the end-buyer).

36-Month FID Horizon: Finalize Front-End Engineering Design (FEED) studies and secure project finance based on ironclad EPC contracts and sovereign-backed CfDs (if applicable).

Implications for the C-Suite and Board

Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Must firmly resist the pressure from markets to announce speculative gigawatt-scale targets. The focus must be on strategic joint ventures, strict offtake discipline, and staged capital deployment.

Chief Financial Officer (CFO) Must model extreme downside scenarios for LCOH, focusing heavily on power price sensitivity, the soaring costs of 45V/RFNBO compliance auditing, and electrolyser degradation rates.

Chief Commercial Officer (CCO) Must abandon traditional spot-market logic. Hydrogen requires bespoke, bundled energy-and-decarbonization contracts that secure long-term revenue.

Chief Technology Officer (CTO) Must solve the water intensity issue, assess the techno-economics of cooling systems, and navigate the TRL risks of ammonia cracking versus LOHC.

Board Members Must enforce strict Go/No-Go gates tied to firm offtake and finalized regulatory safe harbors before authorizing major capital expenditures.

Future Outlook: 2026 to 2035

The near-term future (2026-2030) will be characterized by a relentless culling of the project pipeline. We anticipate further high-profile cancellations of merchant projects that lack anchor tenants. However, the projects that survive will be highly robust, clustered in industrial hubs, and heavily backed by state mechanisms like H2Global and the UK's Hydrogen Allocation Rounds (HAR). Following the success of HAR1 and the shortlisting of 27 projects in HAR2, the UK aims to announce HAR2 winners in early 2026 and rapidly launch HAR3, signaling durable government commitment.

Medium-term (2030-2035), the enforcement of strict hourly matching rules under 45V and RFNBO will trigger a structural evolution in green hydrogen, forcing a massive surge in combined renewables-plus-storage integration. Simultaneously, the escalation of CBAM certificate prices will force global fertilizer, shipping, and steel markets to fully restructure supply chains around low-emissions commodities. Ultimately, the physical movement of pure hydrogen will remain highly localized within pipeline networks and salt caverns, while global, intercontinental trade will be entirely dominated by ammonia and synthetic methanol.

Conclusion

Hydrogen's commercial maturation is proving far more complex, capital-intensive, and policy-dependent than early transition narratives suggested. The dual shock of strict compliance regimes (45V, RFNBO, CBAM) and the stark reality of consumer unwillingness to pay the "green premium" has forced the industry to sober up. Yet, as final investment decisions double and massive, captive projects like NEOM approach completion, the foundation of a viable, albeit narrowly focused, hydrogen economy is being cemented.

For energy leaders, the path forward requires surgical precision. Success will belong to those who treat hydrogen not as a universal climate panacea, but as a rigid industrial chemical business, one that demands secured offtake, integrated logistics, rigorous water and power management, and absolute mastery of labyrinthine tax and carbon policies.

Appendix A: Research Methodology

This report synthesizes empirical market data, regulatory frameworks, and corporate disclosures reflecting the state of the hydrogen economy as of mid-2026. The evaluation strictly delineated between announced projects and committed capital (FIDs) to strip away industry hype. The LCOH and commercial analysis incorporated real-world constraints often omitted in theoretical models, particularly electrolyser utilization constraints under impending 2030 hourly-matching rules (RFNBO/45V), realistic ammonia cracking energy losses, and comprehensive water withdrawal metrics. Case studies were selected based on definitive market actions (e.g., NEOM's 90% construction milestone, Fertiglobe's validated €1,000/t H2Global contract, and ExxonMobil's suspension of the Baytown facility) to juxtapose successful commercialization with actual failure modes.

Appendix C: Executive Action Checklist

For Energy Companies & Project Developers

- Conduct a deep-dive audit of all planned US and EU projects against the 2030 hourly temporal matching regulations to model exact battery storage requirements.
- Recalibrate all LCOH models to include realistic water procurement (desalination CAPEX) and cooling system OPEX.
- Suspend any final investment decisions lacking binding, 10+ year take-or-pay offtake agreements with creditworthy counterparties.

For Industrial Offtakers (Steel, Refining, Fertilizers)

- Calculate forward exposure to the EU CBAM (assuming ~€75.36/t CO₂e scaling upward through 2030) to determine the break-even point for signing green/blue ammonia premium contracts.
- Evaluate co-location within established hubs (e.g., US Gulf Coast) to leverage shared salt-cavern storage (e.g., Moss Bluff) and minimize pipeline CAPEX.

For Infrastructure Investors

- Pivot capital focus from speculative merchant electrolyzers to critical bottleneck infrastructure: salt caverns, ammonia import terminals, and dedicated midstream pipelines.
- Stress-test LOHC and liquid hydrogen transport investments against realistic thermodynamic efficiency losses, toluene degradation, and high cracking costs.

Selected Sources

1. Executive summary, Global Hydrogen Review 2025, Analysis - IEA
2. Global Hydrogen Production in 2025: Trends, Data, and Insights
3. Global Hydrogen Review 2024: FID doubles, low-emission ammonia takes center stage
4. Global Hydrogen Review 2025
5. ACER 2025 European hydrogen markets - Monitoring Report
6. Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service Issue Final Regulations on Section 45V Clean Hydrogen Production Tax Credit - K&L Gates
7. Final Section 45V Clean Hydrogen Production Tax Credit Regulations: A Closer Look | Thought Leadership | February 2025 | Baker Botts
8. EU CBAM Definitive Regime 2026
9. Pink Hydrogen Global Market Insights 2025, Analysis and Forecast to 2030, by Market Participants, Regions, Technology, Application, Product Type - Research and Markets
10. Radiolytic hydrogen production from nuclear reactor coolant water
11. Methane Pyrolysis for Turquoise Hydrogen - Ammonia Energy Association
12. Global Hydrogen Review 2025
13. Green Hydrogen and water, we don't need that much overall, but water management needs to be responsible
14. unece.org
15. Water for hydrogen production - IRENA
16. (PDF) Water Consumption in Hydrogen Production Through Electrolysis: Overview, State-of-the-Art, and Future Trends - ResearchGate
17. Water consumption in hydrogen production - AtlantHy
18. Green Hydrogen - energypedia
19. January 21, 2024 VIA The Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov Internal Revenue Service CC:PA:LPD:PR (REG-132569-17)
20. Texas Hydrogen Production Policy Council Report, Dec. 2024
21. Accelerating the Development of a Texas and Gulf Coast Clean Hydrogen Ecosystem - University of Houston
22. H2 Global: auction results groundbreaking for renewable ammonia - Erneuerbare Energien Hamburg | EEHH
23. Results of the H2Global Pilot auction - ESMAP
24. Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism | European Hydrogen Observatory
25. NEOM Green Hydrogen Project - ACWA Power
26. Construction on Saudi Arabia's Flagship Green Hydrogen Project Is 90% - Tellus Materials
27. Navigating the Clean Hydrogen Production Tax Credit Final Rule - Trinity Consultants
28. Treasury Department, IRS Release Section 45V Clean Hydrogen PTC Final Regulations | Insights | Holland & Knight
29. Amendments to the electricity sourcing criteria in the Delegated Act for RFNBO-compliant hydrogen - BDEW
30. RFNBO temporal correlation - Emissions-EUETS.com
31. EU rules for renewable hydrogen - European Parliament
32. CBAM's Impact on Fertilizer Exports - Green Gubre Group
33. Hydrogen logistics in global supply chains: the role of liquid hydrogen - UNECE
34. Liquid hydrogen carriers: an overview of technical aspects and SVHC properties - RIVM
35. Techno-economic and environmental assessment of renewable hydrogen import routes from overseas in 2030 - ResearchGate
36. Clean Ammonia Roadmap - ISPT - Institute for Sustainable Process Technology
37. NEOM Green Hydrogen Company: NGHC

38. Chevron - decarbonfuse.com
39. Blue Freeze | Exxon Mobil Pauses Major Clean Hydrogen Project - Enverus
40. Exxon Mobil Hydrogen 2025, \$10B Cut, ADNOC Deal - EnkiAI
41. BP cancels its 1.2 GW H2Teesside blue hydrogen project in the UK - Enerdata
42. H2Global Pilot Auction Results Summary Lot 1 overview
43. Pilot H2Global auction awards winning bid at EUR 1,000/tonne - Veyt
44. Lot 1: Renewable ammonia - Hintco
45. H2Global pilot auction results in first significant renewable ammonia supply for EU
46. Saudi Arabia's Neom Green Hydrogen Project is 90% Complete - TankTerminals
47. ExxonMobil pauses plans for \$7B hydrogen plant in Baytown - Energy Capital
48. ExxonMobil may delay, cancel \$7B Baytown clean hydrogen plant - Energy Capital
49. Hydrogen update to the market: July 2025 - GOV.UK
50. Hydrogen Allocation Round 2, Policies - IEA



THE BARNWELL ADVISORY GROUP

Where strategy meets execution.

barnwelladvisorygroup.com | dwayne@barnwelladvisory.com | +1.281.643.8652